

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/632,208	08/03/2000	Helmut Mangold	PM271764	1169	
759	90 08/28/2002				
PILLSBURY WINTHROP LLP			EXAMINER		
1600 TYSONS MCLEAN, VA	BOULEVARD 22102	2 ***	GROUP, KARL E		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1755	14	
			DATE MAILED: 08/28/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.

Applicant(s) 09/632,208

Mangold et al

Office Action Summary

Examiner Karl Group Art Unit 1755

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the cerrespondence address							
Period for Reply							
THE N	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.						
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.							
<ul> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>							
Status							
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Aug 1, 20	002					
2a) 💢	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This act	ion is non-final.					
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-14, 23, and 24</u>		is/are per	iding in the application.			
4	a) Of the above, claim(s) <u>9-14</u>		is/are wi	thdrawn from consideration.			
5) 🗆	Claim(s)		is/ar	e allowed.			
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-8, 23, and 24</u>		is/ar	e rejected.			
7) 🗌	Claim(s)		is/ar	e objected to.			
8) 🗆	Claims	are :	subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.			
Applicat	tion Papers						
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is:	a) approved b)	disapproved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to	to this Office acti	on.				
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	iner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some* c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summery (PTO-413) Paper No(s).							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
	ermation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:					
		-, <u> </u>					

Application/Control Number: 09/632208 Page 2

Art Unit: 1755

1. Applicant's election of Group I in Paper No.13 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 8 stands rejected because the terminology comparing the properties of the claimed glass (i.e. melting temperature) to another glass is considered to render the claim indefinite because it allows the claim to have a variable scope over time. The glass used in comparison may have properties that change over time with advances in technology therefor allowing the scope of the instant claim to change over time. This rejection was not argued nor were the claims amended to correct the terminology.

- 4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 5. Claims 1-8,23,24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a, b or e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Kamo et al (5,585,173), Koppler et al (5,979,186), Sayce et al (5,985,779), Loxley et al (6,012,304), Bhandarkar et al (6,209,357 and Loxley et al (6,355,587), each taken alone.

Application/Control Number: 09/632208 Page 3

Art Unit: 1755

Applicants argue that the prior art of record fails to teach pyrogenically produced silicon dioxide. This is not persuasive in overcoming the rejection because the claims are directed to a sintered material mad from said silica. Applicants have not distinguished the claimed sintered material from the sintered material from the prior art. Starting materials and process of manufacture do not patentably distinguish the final product. In product-by-process claims, "once a product appearing to be substantially identical is found and a 35 U.S.C. 102/103 rejection [is] made, the burden shifts to the applicant to show an unobvious difference." MPEP 2113. This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102/103 is proper because the "patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production." *In re Thorpe*, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985).

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/632208 Page 4

Art Unit: 1755

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karl Group whose telephone number is (703)308-3821. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Bell, can be reached on (703)308-3823. The fax phone number for this Group is (703)872-9310, for any non-final amendment or communication, and (703)872-9311 for any after-final amendment or communication.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0661.

KARL GROUP PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 1755

Keg August 27, 2002